

On Multiplier Groups of Finite Cyclic Planes

CHAT Y. HO

*Department of Mathematics, University of Florida,
Gainesville, Florida 32611*

Communicated by Walter Feit

Received December 1, 1987

1. INTRODUCTION

A Singer group of a projective plane is a subgroup of collineations acting sharply transitively on the points of the plane. A cyclic plane is a projective plane with a cyclic Singer group. Infinite cyclic planes are non-Desarguesian [K]. On the other hand, all known finite cyclic planes are Desarguesian.

Let Π be a finite cyclic plane and let N be the normalizer of a Singer group S in the collineation group G . Then $N = S \cdot N_X$, where N_X is the stabilizer of a point X of Π . The group $M = N/S \cong N_X$ is independent of X and S . We call M the *multiplier group* of Π . The importance of M in the study of finite cyclic planes can be seen from Ott's result [O], which says that either Π is Desarguesian or $N = G$. In this paper we determine the structure of the Sylow 2-subgroup of M and study the relationship between M and Π . Hall [H-P, p. 265] proves that three divides $|M|$. A moment of thought yields that if Π is a Desarguesian plane of order p^k for some prime p , then $|M| = 3k$ (in general $3k$ divides $|M|$). We will show that the converse of this is true for some values of k . The element in $\text{Aut}(S)$, which inverts every element of S , is known to be not in M ([B, p. 60] or [F, p. 133]). Therefore $|M| \leq |\text{Aut}(S)|/2$. Using Galois theory of cyclotomic fields, we classify planes satisfying $|M| = |\text{Aut}(S)|/2$ with the help of the Gaussian quadratic sum. Also we are able to give a complete answer to the case $|M| = |\text{Aut}(S)|/4$. More precisely, we prove the following.

THEOREM. *Let Π be a finite cyclic plane of order n with the multiplier group M . Then the following hold.*

(1) *The Sylow 2-subgroup T of M is cyclic. If $|T| = 2^\alpha$ for some integer $\alpha \geq 0$, then $n = m^{2^\alpha}$ for some integer $m \geq 2$.*

(2) For $k = 3, 5$ or 2^α for some integer $\alpha \geq 0$, we have $|M| = 3k$ if and only if $n = p^k$ for some prime p .

(3) We have $|M| = |\text{Aut}(S)|/2$ if and only if $n = 2$ or 4 . Furthermore, $n = 2$ occurs if and only if $|M| = \text{odd}$ in this case.

(4) We have $|M| = |\text{Aut}(S)|/4$ if and only if $n = 3$.

Some remarks are in order. Statement (1) improves a result of Ostrom and Wagner on planar 2-subgroups [D, p. 173] for cyclic planes. The cases for the extreme values of $|M|$ are treated in (2) and (3). In particular, (2) gives a characterization of the order of a finite cyclic plane being a prime if and only if its multiplier group has order 3. The study of finite cyclic planes is equivalent to the study of finite cyclic groups with difference sets [HP]. The latter has a close relationship with cyclotomic fields and number theory. Statements (3) and (4) prove that a finite cyclic group with a difference set, whose multiplier group has order at least $|\text{Aut}(S)|/4$, has order 7, 21, or 13. We will prove statement (i) in section (i) for $i = 1, \dots, 4$.

1. PRELIMINARIES AND THE PROOF OF (1)

In the rest of this paper, Π is a finite cyclic plane of order n . The full collineation (resp. multiplier) group of Π is G (resp. M). Let S be a Singer group of Π and let $N = N_G(S)$. For $X \subseteq G$, let $P(X)$ be the set of fixed points of X and $\text{Fix}(X)$ be the fixed-points-lines substructure of X . An involution σ in G is a Baer involution if n is a square and $\text{Fix}(\sigma)$ is a subplane of order \sqrt{n} (a Baer subplane). Let $A = \text{Aut}(S)$. An integer t is called a multiplier if the automorphism of S (which is also denoted by t) $s \rightarrow s^t$ is also a collineation of Π when we identify the points of Π with the elements of S . Our terminology in group theory is taken from [G], that of projective planes is taken from [HP], and that of difference sets is taken from [B]. For the convenience of the reader, we record the following two known results.

THEOREM 1.1 (Hall [HP, p. 265]). *Any divisor of n is a multiplier.*

LEMMA 1.2 (Ott [O, 1.4]). *Suppose U is a subgroup of N such that $|P(U)| \geq 1$. Then $|P(U)| = |C_S(U)|$. If $|C_S(U)| \neq 1$, then $C_S(U)$ is a Singer group of the subplane $\text{Fix}(U)$ (here a triangle is also regarded as a subplane).*

The next lemma is an observation about cyclic groups.

LEMMA 1.3. *We have $S = S_1 \times \dots \times S_h$, where S_1, \dots, S_h are cyclic groups of distinct odd prime power orders. Two involutions α, β in A are equal if and only if $|C_S(\alpha)| = |C_S(\beta)|$.*

Proof. The first statement follows from the fact that $|S| = n^2 + n + 1$ is odd. The second conclusion holds because an involution in A either centralizes or inverts S_i for $i = 1, \dots, h$.

Using structures of orbits of points and lines of various subgroups of N we now prove (1) in the following steps.

(1-1) *The Sylow 2-subgroups T of M is cyclic and the involution in T is Baer.*

Proof. Let σ be an involution in T . Then there exists $s \neq 1$ in S such that σ inverts s . Suppose σ is a perspectivity. Since s has odd order, the two involutions $\sigma, \sigma s$ are conjugate in $\langle \sigma, s \rangle$. Hence σs is also a perspectivity. Thus σ and σs have a common fixed point which is then fixed by $s = \sigma(\sigma s)$. But S acts sharply transitively on the points of Π . This contradiction proves that σ is not a perspectivity and so it is a Baer involution [HP, p. 91, Theorem 4.3]. By Lemma 1.2 we have $|C_S(\sigma)| = |P(\sigma)| = n + \sqrt{n} + 1$. Since this last number is independent of σ , Lemma 1.3 implies that T has at most one involution. So T is cyclic as desired.

(1-2) *If $|T| = 2^\alpha$, then $n = m^{2^b}$ for some integers $m \geq 2$ and $b \geq \alpha$.*

Proof. Let $|T| = 2^\alpha$. We use induction on α . For $\alpha = 0$, (1-2) certainly holds. The case $\alpha = 1$ follows from (1-1) as the involution in T is Baer.

Suppose $\alpha \geq 2$. Let $\tau \in T$ such that $\tau^2 = \sigma$. Let $\Omega = \text{Fix}(\sigma)$, a Baer subplane. We will prove that τ does not induce the identity collineation on Ω . Let $P = C_S(\sigma)$ and $u = |P|$. Then $u = n + \sqrt{n} + 1$ by Lemma 1.2. So $S = P \times Q$, where $|Q| = n - \sqrt{n} + 1$ and σ inverts every element in Q . Let $Q_1 = Q \langle \sigma \rangle$. There are exactly u Q -orbits of points of Π and each such orbit has exactly one point in Ω . Since $\Omega = \text{Fix}(\sigma)$, this shows that each Q -orbit of points is also a Q_1 -orbit. So the Q -orbits of points coincide with the Q_1 -orbits of points. Let l be a line of Ω . Then l carries $\sqrt{n} + 1$ points of Ω . Let Γ be the set of $n - \sqrt{n}$ points of l outside Ω . Thus σ acts fixed-point-freely on the points of Γ . So Γ is the union of $(n - \sqrt{n})/2$ $\langle \sigma \rangle$ -orbits, each of size 2. We claim the following holds.

(A) Any two such $\langle \sigma \rangle$ -orbits belong to different Q_1 -orbits.

Deny this. Let O_1, \dots, O_u be the Q_1 -orbits of points such that O_i contains k_i subsets of the said $\langle \sigma \rangle$ -orbits. Thus there exists j such that $1 \leq j \leq u$ and $k_j > 1$. Counting the number of points in Γ yields $n - \sqrt{n} = \sum_{i=1}^u 2k_i$.

Let $L = l^{\Omega_1}$. For $i \in 1, \dots, u$, let l_i be the number of lines in L passing through a point of O_i . Since L has the same cardinality as any Q_1 -orbit of points, l_i equals to the number of points of O_i on l . In particular $l_i \geq 2k_i$, for $1 \leq i \leq u$. Counting $\{x \cap y \mid x \neq y \in L\}$ in two ways yields $|L|(|L| - 1) = \sum_{i=1}^u |O_i|(l_i)(l_i - 1)$. From $|L| = |O_i|$ and $l_i \geq 2k_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, u$, the last

equation implies $n - \sqrt{n} \geq \sum_{i=1}^u 2k_i(2k_i - 1)$. On the other hand, $k_j > 1$. So $\sum_{i=1}^u 2k_i(2k_i - 1) > \sum_{i=1}^u u = n - \sqrt{n}$. Therefore we obtain $n - \sqrt{n} > n - \sqrt{n}$. This contradiction establishes (A).

We now return to prove that τ induces a non-identity collineation on Ω . Deny this. Thus τ fixes l . Since $\sigma = \tau^2$ acts fixed-point-freely on Γ , so does τ . Let A be a $\langle \tau \rangle$ -orbit in Γ . Then A is the union of two $\langle \sigma \rangle$ -orbits: A_1, A_2 . Since τ fixes every point in Ω , τ leaves invariant each Q_1 -orbit of points. Hence A_1 and A_2 belong to a common Q_1 -orbit. This contradicts (A). Therefore τ induces a non-identity collineation on Ω . So the Sylow 2-subgroup of the multiplier group of Ω has order divisible by $2^{\alpha-1}$. By induction, the order \sqrt{n} of Ω equals to m^2 for some integers $m \geq 2$ and $c \geq \alpha - 1$. This implies that $n = m^{2^{c+1}}$ and establishes (1-2) as $c + 1 \geq \alpha$.

(1-3) If $|T| = 2^\alpha$, then $n = m^{2^\alpha}$ for some integer $m \geq 2$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume $\alpha \geq 1$. By (1-2), $n = m^{2^b}$ for some integers $m \geq 2$ and $b \geq \alpha$. By Theorem 1.1, m is a multiplier. Therefore m^3 is also a multiplier. Let $v = n^2 + n + 1$. Since $n^3 \equiv 1 \pmod{v}$, $(m^3)^{2^b} \equiv 1 \pmod{v}$. From $(m^3)^{2^{b-1}} < m^{(2^{b-1}) \cdot 4} = m^{2^{b+1}} = n^2$, we obtain that $1, m^3, \dots, (m^3)^{2^{b-1}}$ are all distinct modulo v . Hence the multiplier m^3 has order 2^b . This implies $|T| \geq 2^b$, which in turns yields $\alpha \geq b$. Therefore $\alpha = b$ and $n = m^{2^\alpha}$ as desired.

Statement (1) of the theorem follows from (1-1) and (1-3).

2. PROOF OF (2)

Notations are as in Section 1. Also let $v = n^2 + n + 1$. The following result is due to Gordon, Mills, and Welch [B, p. 89].

THEOREM 2.1. If $n = p^k$ for some non-negative integer k and prime p , then the multiplier group M consists of all the powers of p modulo v .

Theorem 2.1 implies that if $n = p^k$ for some non-negative integer k and prime p , then M is a cyclic group of order $3k$ generated by p . In particular, this holds for $k = 3, 5$ or 2^α . We divide the rest of the proof of (2), which uses Lagrange's theorem and elementary properties of congruences of integers, into the following steps.

(2-1) If $|M| = 3 \cdot 2^\alpha$ for some integer $\alpha \geq 0$, then $n = p^{2^\alpha}$.

Proof. By (1-3), we obtain $n = m^{2^\alpha}$ for some integer $m \geq 2$. Hence m is also a multiplier of Π . Let p be the smallest prime dividing m . If $m = pq$ with $q \geq 1$, then $p^{2^{\alpha+1}} = (p^{2^\alpha})^2 < v = n^2 + n + 1$. Thus $1, p, \dots, p^{2^{\alpha+1}}$ are dis-

tinct modulo v . Therefore the cyclic subgroup of M generated by the multiplier p has order bigger than or equal to $2^{\alpha+1}$. This implies $M = \langle p \rangle$ by Lagrange's theorem. Since $n \in M$, $n \equiv p^b \pmod{v}$ for some $0 \leq b < v$. From $1 \equiv n^3 \equiv p^{3b} \pmod{v}$, we conclude that $b = 2^\alpha$. Since n and p^{2^α} are both less than v , we obtain $n = p^{2^\alpha}$ as desired.

(2-2) If $|M| = 9$, then $n = p^3$ or p for some prime p .

Proof. Let p be the smallest prime dividing n , and let $n = pq$. We may assume $q > 1$. Then $p^4 < v$. Hence the cyclic subgroup of M generated by the multiplier p has order bigger than 5. This implies $M = \langle p \rangle$ by Lagrange's theorem. Since $n \in M$, we obtain $n \equiv p^b \pmod{v}$ for some $1 < b \leq 8$. From $1 \equiv n^3 \pmod{v}$, we get $b = 3$. Since n and p^3 are both less than v , so in fact $n = p^3$ as desired.

(2-3) If $|M| = 15$, then $n = p^5$ or p for some prime p .

Proof. Let $n = pq$, where p is the smallest prime dividing n . We may assume $q > 1$. If $p = q$, then $n = p^2$. However, this implies that the multiplier p^3 has order 2, which forces $|M|$ to be even. This contradiction proves that $q > p$.

Suppose $p^5 \geq v$. Since $v > p^2 q^2$, this implies $p^3 > q^2$. Therefore the following holds.

(B) $p^2 > q$.

Assume $p^5 \equiv 1 \pmod{v}$. Then $p^5 = 1 + kv$. Since $p^5 \geq v$, so $k \geq 1$. From $p^5 < p^3 q^2$, we obtain $k < p$. Now $1 + kv = p^5 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ implies $1 + k \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, which forces $k = p - 1$ as $1 \leq k < p$. Therefore $p^5 = 1 + (p - 1)v = p(1 + (p - 1)(pq^2 + q))$. So $p^4 = p^2 q^2 + pq(1 - q) + 1 - q$. This implies $0 \equiv 1 - q \pmod{p}$. Let $1 - q = pw$ for some integer w . Substitute this back to the equation of p^4 to get $p^4 = p^2 q^2 + (pq + 1)pw$. Cancelling p on both sides yields $p^3 = pq^2 + (pq + 1)w$, which implies that $0 \equiv w \pmod{p}$. Therefore p^2 divides $pw = 1 - q$. Thus p^2 divides $q - 1$. However, this contradicts (B). Hence $p^5 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{v}$ when $p^5 \geq v$.

Since $p^4 < v$, we conclude that $1, p, \dots, p^5$ are all distinct modulo v . Therefore $M = \langle p \rangle$ by Lagrange's theorem as $|M| = 15$. Since $n \in M$, we get $n \equiv p^b \pmod{v}$ for some $0 \leq b < 15$. From $1 \equiv n^3 \pmod{v}$, we obtain $b = 5$. Hence $pq = n \equiv p^5 \pmod{v}$. Since $(p, q) = 1$, this implies $q \equiv p^4 \pmod{v}$. Therefore $q = p^4$ as both q and p^4 are less than v . This proves $n = pq = p^5$ as desired.

(2-4) If $|M| = 3k$, where $k = 3$ or 5 , then $n = p^k$, where p is a prime.

Proof. By (2-3) and (2-4), it suffices to eliminate the case $n=p$, where p is a prime. If $n=p$, then Theorem 2.1 implies that $|M|=3$. This contradiction establishes (2-4).

Statement (2) follows from (2-1), (2-4), and the remark on Theorem 2.1.

3. PROOF OF (3)

Notations as in Section 1. Also $v=n^2+n+1=|S|$. Let ζ be a primitive v th root of 1 in the complex number field. We identify S with $\langle \zeta \rangle$ in $Q(\zeta)$, the cyclotomic field obtained by adjoining ζ to Q , the rationals. Next we identify $A=\text{Aut}(S)$ with the Galois group of $Q(\zeta)$ over Q .

A subset of S is called a difference set of S if for any element $s \in S$ there exists exactly one pair of elements α, b in this set such that $s=\alpha^{-1}b$. By [B, p. 79, Theorem 4.1], there exists a difference set D of S which is left invariant by M . Set $\theta=\sum_{d \in D} \zeta^d$. Then θ belongs to K , the fixed subfield of M . With the help of the Gaussian quadratic sum we now prove (3) in the following three steps.

(3-1) If $n=2$ (resp. $n=4$), then $|M|=|A|/2=3$ (resp. 6).

Proof. Since planes of order 2 and 4 are Desarguesian, our conclusion follows from the general fact that for a Desarguesian plane of order $p^k=n$, where p is a prime, the multiplier group has order $3k$.

(3-2) If $|M|=|A|/2$ is odd, then $n=2$.

Proof. Denote the complex conjugation of x by \bar{x} . Since -1 is not a multiplier [B, p. 60], we obtain

(C) $\bar{\theta} \neq \theta$.

Let $g=\sum_{i=0}^{v-1} \zeta^{i^2}$ be the Gaussian quadratic sum. Then g is an algebraic integer in $Q(\zeta)$. Since v is odd, by Gauss [N, p. 117] we obtain the following.

(D) If $v \equiv \varepsilon \pmod{4}$, where $\varepsilon=1$ or -1 , then $g=\sqrt{\varepsilon v}$.

In particular $[Q(g):Q]=2$. Since M is the unique subgroup of index 2 in A under our assumption, we conclude that K is the unique subfield of $Q(\zeta)$ with degree 2 over Q by the fundamental theorem of Galois theory. Hence $K=Q(g)$. Note that the Galois group of K over Q is generated by the restriction of the complex conjugation.

Suppose $v \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Then K is a subfield of the real numbers by (D). Since $\theta \in K$, this implies $\theta=\bar{\theta}$, which contradicts (C). Therefore $v \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $K=Q(\sqrt{-v})$ by (D) again. As $-v \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, the ring of

algebraic integers of K is $Z \oplus Z((-1 + \sqrt{-v})/2)$ [IR, p. 189]. Hence $\theta = x + (y/2)(-1 + \sqrt{-v})$ for some integers x and y . Since $\theta \neq \bar{\theta}$ by (C), $y \neq 0$. Also $\theta\bar{\theta} = (x - y/2)^2 + (y^2v)/4$. On the other hand, from the definition of θ and the difference set D , we obtain $\theta\bar{\theta} = n$ as $\sum_{i=0}^{v-1} \zeta^i = 0$. Therefore $4n = 4\theta\bar{\theta} = (2x - y)^2 + y^2v \geq v = n^2 + n + 1$ as $y \neq 0$. Since $n \geq 2$, this last inequality implies $n = 2$. The proof of (3-2) is now completed.

(3-3) If $|M| = |A|/2$, then $n = 2$ or 4.

Proof. By (3-2), we may assume that $|M|$ is even. Since -1 is not a multiplier and $|A| = 2|M|$, the elementary abelian 2-subgroup of A has order 4 by (1-1). This together with the fact that each Sylow subgroup of S is cyclic of odd prime power order implies that $S = S_1 \times S_2$ (see Lemma 1.3). Let σ be the involution of M . By (1-1), σ is a Baer involution. By Lemma 1.2, $|C_S(\sigma)| = n + \sqrt{n+1} \neq 1$. Thus $C_S(\sigma)$ is one of S_1, S_2 . Without loss of generality we may assume that $C_S(\sigma) = S_1$. Since S_1 is cyclic of odd prime power order, $A_1 := \text{Aut}(S_1)$ is cyclic. Hence the only involution of A_1 inverts S_1 . However, S_1 acts sharply transitively on the points of $\text{Fix}(\sigma) = \Omega$, so -1 is not a multiplier of Ω . This implies that the multiplier group R of Ω has odd order. Since the only involution in M centralizes S_1 , $A_1 \neq M$. From $|A : M| = 2$, this implies that $A = A_1 M$. Hence $|A_1 : A_1 \cap M| = 2$. Hall [B, p. 83] proves that M induces by restriction a subgroup of the multiplier group of Ω . Therefore $A_1 \cap M \leq R$. Thus $A_1 \cap M = R$ as $A_1 > R$. This implies that $|R| = |A_1|/2$. Since $|R|$ is odd, so (3-2) implies that the order \sqrt{n} of Ω equals 2. Therefore $n = 4$ as desired.

Statement (3) follows from (3-1), (3-2), and (3-3).

4. PROOF OF (4)

Notations are as in Section 3. The following is a general fact about cyclic groups of odd order.

LEMMA 4.1. Let $S = S_1 \times \cdots \times S_h$, where S_i is the cyclic Sylow p_i -subgroup of S and $A_i = \text{Aut}(S_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, h$. For $i = 1, \dots, h$ if $p_i > 3$, then there exists $\sigma_i \in A_i$ such that σ_i is of odd order and $C_S(\sigma_i) = \prod_{j \neq i} S_j$.

We now prove (4) in the following steps.

(4-1) If $|M| = |A|/4$ is odd, then $n = 3$.

Proof. There are two cases for $T \cong A/M$ to be considered.

Case 1. $T \cong Z_2 \times Z_2$. We will prove that this case cannot occur. By the structure of $A = \text{Aut}(S)$, the condition stated in case 1 implies that $S = S_1 \times S_2$. Let $p_1 < p_2$. So $3 < p_2$. By Lemma 4.1 there exists $\sigma_2 \in A_2$ of odd order such that $C_S(\sigma) = S_1$. Since σ_2 has odd order, $\sigma_2 \in M$. By Lemma 1.2, S_1 acts as Singer group on the subplane $\Pi_2 = \text{Fix}(\sigma_2)$.

Suppose $|S_1| > 3$. Then Π_2 is a proper subplane whose multiplier group M_2 contains $A_1 \cap M$. Since -1 is not a multiplier of Π_2 and $|A_1| = 2$ odd, so $|A_1| = 2|M_1|$. By (3-2), the order of Π_2 is 2. Hence $|S_1| = 7$, which forces $p_1 = |S_1| = 7$. Applying Lemma 4.1 to p_1 yields $\sigma_1 \in A_1$ such that σ_1 has odd order and $C_S(\sigma_1) = S_2$. Interchanging the indices 1 and 2 in the above argument, we obtain, as $p_2 > 3$, that $p_2 = |S_2| = 7$. This contradiction proves that $|S_1| = 3$.

Suppose $|S_2| > p_2$. Then there exists $\tau \in A_2$ of odd order such that $|S_2 : C_{S_2}(\tau)| = p_2$. Let $W = C_{S_2}(\tau)$. Thus $C_S(\tau) = S_1 \times W$ has order bigger than 3. By Lemma 1.2, $S_1 \times W$ is a Singer group on the proper subplane $\Delta = \text{Fix}(\tau)$. Now $\text{Aut}(S_1 \times W) = A_1 \times (A_2 / \langle \tau \rangle)$, which shows that the multiplier group of Δ has odd order $(1/e)|\text{Aut}(S_1 \times W)|$, where $e = 2$ or 4 . Therefore the order w of Δ is 2 when $e = 2$ by (3-2) or 3 when $e = 4$ by induction. This implies that $|S_1 \times W| = 7$ or 13 according to $w = 2$ or 3 . But $|S_1| = 3$. This contradiction proves that case 1 cannot occur.

Case 2. T is cyclic of order 4. This implies that S is a p -group for some prime p . First we show that $|S| = p$. Deny this. Then there exists $\sigma \in A$ of odd order such that $V = C_S(\sigma)$ has index p in S . If $|V| = 3$, then $|S| = 9 = n^2 + n + 1$, which is impossible. Hence $|V| > 3$. By Lemma 1.2, V acts as a Singer group on the proper subplane $\text{Fix}(\sigma)$. Since $\text{Aut}(V) = A / \langle \sigma \rangle$, we get that the multiplier group of $\text{Fix}(\sigma)$ has odd order $|\text{Aut}(V)|/4$. By induction, the order of $\text{Fix}(\sigma)$ is 3. Hence $|V| = 13$ and so $|S| = 169$, which is impossible [B, p. 88]. Therefore $|S| = p$ as desired. Hence A is cyclic.

By [B, p. 79], M fixes a line l of Π . We claim the following holds.

(E) Any subgroup of M fixing at least four points on l is the identity subgroup.

Let $H = \langle h \rangle$ be one such subgroup. By Lemma 1.2, $\text{Fix}(H)$ is a proper subplane. Since $|S| = p$ is a prime, there are p conjugates of H in $\{H^s | s \in S\}$. As Π has p points, the set $\{P(H^s) | s \in S\}$ cannot be disjoint. Thus there exists $1 \neq x \in S$ such that $P(H) \cap P(H^x) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore $h^{-1}h^x$ fixes a point. But $1 \neq [h, x] \in S$, which acts sharply transitively on the points of Π . This contradiction establishes (E).

From $|S| = p$ is a prime, we get $|A| = p - 1 = n(n + 1)$. Let O be an orbit of points of M on l . Suppose $|O| > 3$. By (E), M acts fixed-point-freely on O as M is cyclic. Hence $n(n + 1)/4 = |M|$ divides $|O| \leq n + 1$. This implies that $n \leq 4$. Since $n = 2$ or 4 cannot occur, we have $n = 3$ and $(4 - 1)$ is

established in this case. Therefore we may assume that each M -orbit of points on l has size 3 or 1 as $|M|$ is odd. If there are two orbits of size 3, then the kernel H of the action of M on each one of these orbits coincide as M is cyclic. Therefore $H = 1$ by (E) and M acts fixed-point-freely on an orbit of size 3. Thus $n(n+1)/4$ divides 3, which forces $n = 3$. However, this contradicts the fact there are two M -orbits of size 3 on l . Since S is a Singer group, no element of M can fix all points on l . Therefore there is exactly one M -orbit of points R of size 3 on l . If there are at least two more points on l , then (E) implies that M acts fixed-point-freely on R . Again we obtain $n = 3$. But we have at least $5 = |R| + 2$ point on l under the present assumption. This contradiction proves that there is exactly one more point on l besides the three points in R . Therefore $n + 1 = 4$ and $n = 3$ as desired. The proof of (4-1) is now complete.

(4-2) If $|M| = |A|/4$, then $n = 3$.

Proof. By (4-1), we may assume that $|M|$ is even. By (1), the involution σ in M is a Baer involution. From Lemma 1.2, $C = C_S(\sigma)$ is a Singer group on the Baer subplane $\Omega = \text{Fix}(\sigma)$. Since $|S|$ is odd $S = C \times [S, \sigma]$, where $|[S, \sigma]| = n - \sqrt{n} + 1$, which is prime to $|C|$. Let $X = \text{Aut}(C)$ and $Y = \text{Aut}([S, \sigma])$. Then $|A| = |X| |Y|$. Let $C = \langle \gamma \rangle \subseteq S = \langle \zeta \rangle$. Then $Q(\gamma)$ is a Galois extension subfield of $Q(\zeta)$ over Q . Hence every Galois automorphism of $Q(\gamma)$ can be extended to a Galois automorphism of $Q(\zeta)$. This shows that an odd-order automorphism of C is the restriction of an element in M as M contains all odd-order automorphism of S . Therefore all odd-order automorphisms in X belong to the multiplier group J of Ω . In the proof of (1-2) we see that for $\tau \in M$ with $\tau^2 = \sigma$, the restriction of τ on Ω is not the identity collineation. From this and the fact that -1 is not a multiplier of Ω , we obtain $|J| = |X|/e$, where $e = 2$ or 4 . If $e = 4$, then induction implies that the order \sqrt{n} of Ω equals 3. Hence $n = 9$. But $|M| = 6 \neq |A|/4$ in this case. Therefore $e = 2$. By (2) we obtain $\sqrt{n} = 2$ or 4 . The case $n = 4$ cannot occur as $|M| \neq |A|/4$. So $n = 16$. Since cyclic planes of order 16 are Desarguesian [D, p. 209, 5], we obtain $|M| = 3 \cdot 4$. But $|S| = 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$ and so $|A| = 2 \cdot 6 \cdot 12 \neq 4|M|$. This final contradiction establishes (4-2) and completes the proof of the theorem.

REFERENCES

- [B] L. D. BAUMERT, "Cyclic Difference Sets," Lecture Notes in Mathematics Vol. 182, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971.
- [D] P. DEMBOWSKI, "Finite Geometries," Springer-Verlag, New York, 1968.

- [F] M. FRIED, The field of definition of function fields and a problem in the reducibility of polynomials in two variables, *Illinois J. Math.* **17** (1973), 128–146.
- [G] D. GORENSTEIN, “Finite groups,” Harper & Row, New York, 1968.
- [HP] D. HUGES AND F. PIPER, “Projective Planes,” Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973.
- [IR] K. IRELAND AND M. ROSEN, “A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory,” Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York/Berlin, 1982.
- [K] H. KARZEL, Ebenen Inzidenzgruppen, *Arch. Math.* **40** (1964), 10–17.
- [N] T. NAGELL, “Introduction to Number Theory,” Chelsea, New York, 1964.
- [O] OTT, Endliche Zyklische ebenen, *Math. Z.* **144**, 195–215.